

Remarks/Arguments:

The applicant would like to thank the examiner for the telephonic interview on December 18, 2008, in which the claims and the cited prior art were discussed.

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed November 12, 2008.

Claims 1-11 and 13-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soares et al. (VersionWeb: A Tool for Helping Web page Version Control) and Chaudri et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,275,861), in view of Chaudri et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,275,861 and in view of Cronin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,772,396).

The independent claims have been amended to include the feature that “an interface allows for the selection of any of the first, second, third, fourth, fifth and sixth rule”. This is not shown or made obvious by the cited prior art. None of the cited prior art discloses the selection of a rule using an interface.

New dependent claims 31-38 have been added. These claims include additional details for the six rules. These claims are believed to not be shown or made obvious by the cited prior art. The prior art does not disclose or suggest such rules.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 4, 2009

By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/
Joseph P. O'Malley
Reg. No. 36,226

Customer No.: 80548
FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108
(415) 362-3800